

CLEVELAND OH 44114

UNITED STATES FARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. TELNP0157US T O'HAGAN 04/08/98 09/057,261 **EXAMINER** WM02/1022 023623 KMEPPER AMIN & TUROCY, LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENT 24TH FLOOR,

2645

DATE MAILED:

10/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		. <u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
- Advisory Action	09/057,261	'HAGAN, TIMOTHY P.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David D. Knepper	2645		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a t	plication. A proper re which places the appli	ply to a cation in or Continued	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)	ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in han SIX MONTHS from the mailing danger FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	THE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed statutory period for reply originally se nonths after the mailing date of the fina	et in the final Office action; o I rejection, even if timely filed	r (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl	t's Brief must be filed within th FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	ne period set forth in sal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number	r of finally rejected cla	nims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	_ 			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4, 5, 8-18m 20 and 22</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

David D. Knepper Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application No.

ুContinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/057,261





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added phrase implies that the applicant acknowledges that the use of speech recognition in combination with GUI is known as shown by prior art which allows speech recognition over the internet. The new limitations indicate that the applicant believes he has improved such recognition through the use of phonemes to define patterns of words for recognition. Consideration of the specification under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for this claim and a further search for prior art would be required to determine if the applicant is entitled to claim such an improvement.